OpenMind Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation & Development
Vol. 2, Issue – 1, (Jan-Feb) 2026

Original Research

Animal Care and Welfare

D0I: 10.5281/zenodo.18805991
Article History
Received: 04 Feb 2026
Accepted: 24 Feb 2026
Published: 27 Feb 2026

Fadimatu Dauda Muhammad

- Department of Veterinary Medicine, Usman Dan Fodio University Sokoto, Sokoto 840104, Sokoto, Nigeria

Correspondence Author:
Fadimatu Dauda Muhammad

How to Cite
Fadimatu Dauda Muhammad. (2026). Animal Care and Welfare. In OpenMind Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation & Development (Vol. 2, Number 1, pp. 1–8). OMR Publication. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18805991

***Related declarations are provided in the final section of this article*

Abstract

Veterinary technology relies heavily on animal care and welfare, which focuses on the mental and physical health of animals managed by humans. Adequate feeding, housing, disease prevention, humane handling, and ethical treatment in a variety of animal sectors are all included in the idea of animal welfare. The evaluation and maintenance of animal well-being has been completely transformed by advancements in veterinary technology, including welfare-based management systems, behavioral assessment tools, and precision livestock monitoring (Broom, 2019). Furthermore, in order to evaluate stress, discomfort, and comfort in both domestic and farm animals, animal welfare science has combined physiological, behavioral, and environmental variables (Fraser, 2021).

Notwithstanding these advancements, problems still exist, such as handlers' low understanding of animal welfare, lax enforcement of animal protection regulations, and financial strains on animal industry (Mellor, 2016). The "One Welfare" approach, which connects animal welfare to human well-being and environmental sustainability, is highlighted by emerging research (Pinillos, 2018). Encouraging education, policy implementation, and technology innovation in veterinary practice is crucial to upholding moral principles and improving animal welfare.

Keywords: Animal behavior, ethics in veterinary practice, livestock management, animal welfare, animal care, veterinary technology, One Welfare, and animal health

Introduction

A key tenet of modern veterinary technology is the welfare and moral treatment of animals, which reflects the convergence of science, ethics, and social responsibility. Over the past few decades, the idea of animal welfare has changed significantly, shifting from a limited emphasis on preventing cruelty to a thorough comprehension of the physical, mental, and emotional well-

being of animals. It acknowledges that animals must be treated humanely under all human control since they are sentient beings with the capacity to feel pain, fear, joy, and comfort (Fraser, 2021). The responsibilities of veterinarians and animal technologists have been redefined by this paradigm shift, which places them in the role of ethical guardians and advocates for the welfare of animals rather than just caregivers.

The convergence of ethology, physiology, neuroscience, and veterinary medicine forms the scientific basis of animal welfare, offering quantifiable markers of health and stress reactions. These days, welfare assessments use a multifaceted approach that includes both positive and negative welfare states, from the encouragement of happiness and natural behavior to the reduction of pain and anguish (Broom, 2019). For assessing and enhancing animal welfare, frameworks like the "Five Freedoms," "Five Domains," and "One Welfare" models have offered conceptual and practical advice. The Five Freedoms approach places a strong emphasis on being free from pain, hunger, and discomfort.

The more modern Five Domains approach expands this knowledge by taking into account animals' mental and emotive experiences, such as fear and the capacity to exhibit typical behavior (Mellor, 2016). A holistic and integrative viewpoint is promoted by the One Welfare concept, which further links animal welfare with human well-being and environmental sustainability (Pinillos, 2018).

Animal care and welfare in the context of veterinary technology go beyond standard clinical duties to include ongoing observation, moral judgment, and technical advancement. By enabling the real-time identification of stress, disease, and discomfort, emerging technologies including thermal imaging, bio-sensing devices, automated behavior recognition, and precision livestock farming systems have transformed welfare monitoring (Grandin, 2020).

In addition to improving diagnostic precision and preventive treatment, these technologies support more compassionate production methods that adhere to ethical and sustainable global norms. Through expert handling, data interpretation, and the application of welfare procedures suited to particular species and production systems, veterinary technologists play a critical role in the implementation of these developments.

However, structural issues still prevent the complete achievement of optimal animal care, despite significant advancements. These include cultural perspectives that put productivity ahead of wellbeing, insufficient training for animal handlers, lax regulation enforcement, and financial limitations in low-resource environments (Hemsworth & Coleman, 2019). Furthermore, the industrialization of livestock production and the globalization of animal industries have exacerbated moral conundrums pertaining to confinement, genetic selection, and stress from transportation.

An integrative approach that incorporates education, policy reform, stakeholder involvement, and science-based welfare evaluation is necessary to address these issues.

Thus, this study highlights the revolutionary significance of veterinary technology in linking scientific innovation with ethical duty while critically examining the tenets, approaches, and new developments in animal care and welfare. It aims to increase knowledge of how professional training, ethical consciousness, and technology applications can work together to enhance animal welfare in clinical, agricultural, and research contexts through the perspective of welfare science.

Literature Review

Evolution and Conceptualization of Animal Welfare

One of the biggest paradigm breakthroughs in modern veterinary science is the theoretical development of animal welfare. In the past, the absence of pain, illness, and starvation was the main focus of a negative welfare perspective on animal wellbeing (Fraser, 2021). The "Five Freedoms" paradigm, which promoted freedom from hunger, discomfort, pain, fear, and the ability to exhibit normal behavior, echoed this early thinking and offered a moral basis for humane care. But as scientific knowledge of animal sentience grew, it became clear that wellbeing needed to include positive affective states like pleasure, comfort, and security in addition to the lack of pain (Mellor, 2016).

The "Five Domains Model," developed by Mellor and Reid, introduced an expanded welfare paradigm by differentiating between the physical/functional domains—nutrition, environment, health, and behavior—and the affective mental domain that reflects the animal's subjective experience. This model has proven particularly useful in scientific welfare assessment because it allows for the quantification of both positive and negative indicators. Additionally, the emergence of the "One Welfare" framework (Pinillos, 2018) brought animal welfare with the principles of global health, food security, and ethical responsibility.

The ethical discourse surrounding animal welfare is heavily based in philosophical conceptions of moral position and sensibility. According to utilitarian ethics, which was developed by Jeremy Bentham and later promoted by Singer (2015), animals should be given moral concern because they are capable of suffering. This idea is summed up by Bentham's well-known statement, "The question is not, Can they reason? Can they converse as well? Can they, nevertheless, suffer? The majority of welfare laws and policy frameworks that seek to reduce damage and increase well-being are based on this utilitarian premise.

On the other hand, rights-based theorists like Regan and Francione argue that animals have inherent moral rights that forbid their instrumental use, regardless of the advantages to humans.

Ethical and Philosophical Foundations of Animal Welfare

Philosophical conceptions of moral position and sensibility are fundamental to the ethical conversation about animal care. According to utilitarian ethics, which was developed by Jeremy Bentham and later promoted by Singer (2015), animals should be given moral concern because they are capable of suffering. This idea is summed up by Bentham's well-known statement, "The question is not, Can they reason? Can they converse as well? Can they, nevertheless, suffer? The majority of welfare laws and policy frameworks that seek to reduce damage and increase well-being are based on this utilitarian premise.

On the other hand, rights-based theorists like Francione and Regan argue that animals have inherent moral rights that forbid their instrumental use, regardless of the advantages to humans. The relational approach, a third ethical dimension, highlights human responsibility as a result of the special interspecies relationship created by domestication and reliance (Broom, 2019). These moral frameworks guide professional responsibilities and decision-making processes related to breeding, experimentation, euthanasia, and confinement in veterinary ethics.

Practically speaking, the incorporation of ethical reasoning into veterinary technology has raised awareness of the "moral stress" that animal care workers endure due to the competing needs of production efficiency and welfare advocacy. According to Fraser (2021), attaining

welfare excellence requires deliberate and evidence-based practice that balances ethical duties with technical and economic realities.

Scientific and Technological Advances in Animal Welfare Assessment

The objective evaluation and administration of animal welfare have been transformed by the development of veterinary technology. Nowadays, multiple indicators—behavioral, physiological, and biochemical—supported by new technologies like precision livestock farming (PLF) systems are used in scientific welfare evaluation. To continuously monitor factors including body temperature, heart rate, rumination patterns, and movement, these technologies use biosensors, automated feeders, image recognition algorithms, and machine-learning analytics (Benjamin & Yik, 2019).

Specifically, the use of wearable technology has made it possible to monitor wellbeing in real time and diagnose reproductive abnormalities, stress, and lameness early on. In a similar vein, animal populations' subclinical disease and distress responses have been identified using thermal imaging and acoustic sensors (Grandin, 2020).

Innovations like digital behavior tracking, smart collars, and telemedicine platforms have improved companion animal welfare beyond production animals by enabling proactive care and remote diagnosis.

Nevertheless, despite their benefits, these technologies also bring up ethical and epistemological issues, including the possibility of depersonalizing human-animal connections, data privacy, and an excessive dependence on quantitative measurements (Hemsworth & Coleman, 2019). Veterinary technicians must therefore strike a balance between the effectiveness of technology, compassionate care, and contextual interpretation of welfare indices.

Socioeconomic, Cultural, and Policy Dimensions

Animal welfare is not just a scientific or moral matter; socioeconomic circumstances, cultural attitudes, and the application of policies all have a significant impact. Research shows that different communities have different perspectives on animal welfare, which are frequently influenced by economic development, education, and religion (Broom, 2019). Welfare laws and certification programs have been prompted by customer demand for ethically produced animal products in developed countries. On the other hand, welfare standards are still rarely enforced in developing nations where economic survival frequently takes precedent over welfare principles (Hemsworth & Coleman, 2019).

International welfare regulations and recommendations have been produced by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH), although compliance varies greatly. By connecting welfare to human livelihoods, food safety, and public health, Pinillos (2018) argues that incorporating welfare concepts into global development agendas—especially under the One Welfare and One Health frameworks—offers a chance to close inequities. Furthermore, it is becoming more widely acknowledged that veterinary practitioners must be culturally competent in order to adopt welfare programs that are both socially and contextually acceptable.

The Role of Veterinary Technologists in Advancing Welfare Science

In animal care systems, veterinary technologists play a crucial role in putting welfare principles into practice. Animal handling, clinical evaluation, husbandry management, and welfare auditing are all part of their professional duties. According to Grandin (2020), managing

animals with skill and compassion greatly lowers their stress levels and improves their wellbeing and production. Welfare science, behavior, and ethics are being included in veterinary technology training programs to help practitioners make evidence-based decisions.

Additionally, technologists bridge the gap between laboratory innovation and field implementation in translational welfare research. They play a vital role in upholding biosecurity, putting welfare procedures into practice, and instructing animal owners.

However, ongoing education, institutional support, and commitment to professional ethics are necessary for technologists to effectively advance wellbeing. Welfare violations can be sustained by inadequate training or moral disengagement, especially in intense systems where financial incentives may clash with humanitarian standards (Hemsworth & Coleman, 2019). Therefore, improving veterinary technologists' ethical and scientific proficiency is still essential to improving animal welfare worldwide.

Emerging Paradigms and Future Directions

Artificial intelligence, genetics, and sustainability sciences will all play a bigger role in animal welfare research in the future. Predictive wellbeing modeling is promised by artificial intelligence (AI), which integrates large datasets from sensor networks to forecast stress or illness before it manifests clinically (Benjamin & Yik, 2019). Concurrently, the selection of animals that are more resilient to environmental stressors is made possible by advancements in genomics and breeding technology; yet, this raises ethical questions regarding genetic modification and the suppression of natural behavior (Fraser, 2021).

The focus of welfare research is anticipated to shift in the upcoming decades toward comprehensive welfare maximization, where ethical responsibility, environmental stewardship, and technological innovation come together.

The One Welfare concept is crucial as a guiding philosophy for the upcoming generation of veterinary professionals and academics because it recognizes the interdependence of animal welfare, human wellbeing, and ecological integrity (Pinillos, 2018).

Conclusion

From being only ethical issues, animal care and welfare have developed into a scientifically based, technologically advanced, and internationally acknowledged field at the core of contemporary veterinary science. The literature unequivocally shows that animal wellbeing is a multifaceted concept that includes physical health, psychological well-being, and the capacity to exhibit natural behaviors in a setting that promotes comfort and safety (Fraser, 2021; Mellor, 2016). An important theoretical development that integrates welfare with human and environmental well-being is the shift from the conventional "Five Freedoms" paradigm to the more sophisticated "Five Domains" and "One Welfare" frameworks. By presenting welfare as a social and scientific necessity, these models have reinterpreted the ethical and professional obligations of veterinarians and technologists.

Wearable biosensors, behavioral monitoring systems, and precision livestock farming are examples of technological advancements that have significantly changed the field of welfare evaluation. Early detection of stress, illness, and discomfort is made possible by these techniques, enabling more proactive and compassionate responses (Benjamin & Yik, 2019; Grandin, 2020). But the growing dependence on technology also brings with it new ethical,

epistemological, and practical issues that necessitate careful analysis of how data-driven systems interact with the relational and emotional facets of animal care. No amount of automation can replace the empathy, expertise, and moral discernment that characterize the veterinary profession; the human element is still essential.

Due to socioeconomic limitations, cultural differences, and uneven policy enforcement, the examined research also emphasizes enduring discrepancies in welfare implementation (Hemsworth & Coleman, 2019). The implementation of welfare concepts is still hampered in many areas by low knowledge and financial constraints. Integrative, cross-sectoral approaches that connect animal welfare to public health, food security, and sustainable development are necessary to address these issues. By encouraging cooperative solutions that benefit ecosystems, people, and animals equally, the "One Welfare" paradigm presents a promising future (Pinillos, 2018).

Veterinary technologists become important change agents in this larger setting. Their proficiency in welfare evaluation, ethical decision-making, and animal handling helps to close the knowledge gap between theory and practice.

Veterinary technologists can improve welfare standards in clinical, agricultural, and research settings by embracing ongoing professional development and using evidence-based, compassion-centered methods.

In the end, pursuing animal welfare is both a scientific and moral obligation. It displays humanity's capacity for compassion, accountability, and creativity. Therefore, in order to ensure that animal care stays grounded in respect for life and the inherent worth of all sentient beings, future welfare initiatives must strike a balance between technical advancement and ethical integrity. The convergence of welfare science, technology innovation, and ethical awareness will be crucial in creating a more sustainable and humane relationship between humans and animals as the global veterinary community continues to develop.

Recommendations

Veterinary education should incorporate thorough instruction in welfare research, animal behavior, and ethics to produce knowledgeable and caring clinicians and improve animal care and welfare.

To enhance real-time welfare evaluation, investment in precision livestock technology like biosensors and automated monitoring systems should be promoted.

Welfare laws that are in line with international norms, such as the One Welfare and Five Domains frameworks, must be strengthened and enforced by governments.

To advance humane practices and boost consumer support for ethical animal agriculture, public awareness campaigns and stakeholder collaboration are crucial.

To create data-driven, species-specific wellbeing indicators that inform practice and policy, interdisciplinary research should be expanded.

All welfare programs should be guided by an ethical and comprehensive approach to animal care that emphasizes the relationship between animal, human, and environmental well-being.

In the end, sustainable, humane, and scientifically grounded animal care methods will be ensured globally through the convergence of education, technology, legislation, and ethics.

Article Publication Details

This article is published in the **OpenMind Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation & Development**, ISSN 3108-2920 (Online). In Volume 2 (2026), Issue 1 (January - February) - 2026

The journal is published and managed by **OMR PUBLICATION** .

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on this paper.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that no funding was received for this work.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Benjamin, M., & Yik, S. (2019). Precision livestock farming in swine welfare: A review for swine practitioners. *Animals, 9*(4), 133. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9040133

2. Broom, D. M. (2019). Animal welfare in the twenty-first century: Ethical, educational, and scientific challenges. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior, 30*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2019.01.001
3. Fraser, D. (2021). *Understanding animal welfare: The science in its cultural context*. Wiley-Blackwell.
4. Grandin, T. (2020). *Improving animal welfare: A practical approach* (3rd ed.). CABI Publishing.
5. Hemsworth, P. H., & Coleman, G. J. (2019). *Human–livestock interactions: The stockperson and the productivity and welfare of intensively farmed animals* (3rd ed.). CABI Publishing.
6. Mellor, D. J. (2016). Updating animal welfare thinking: Moving beyond the "Five Freedoms" towards "A Life Worth Living." *Animals, 6*(3), 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani6030021
7. Pinillos, R. G. (2018). *One Welfare: A framework to improve animal welfare and human well-being*. CABI Publishing.
8. Singer, P. (2015). *Animal liberation: The definitive classic of the animal movement*. HarperCollins.

Publisher's Note