OpenMind Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation & Development
Vol. 2, Issue – 5, (Sep-Oct) 2026
ISSN: 3108-2920 (Online)

Research Article

Beyond Ritual and Religion: Humanism, Spirituality, and the Philosophy of Lalon Fakir

Prof. Dr. Sazzad Hossain[1], Prof. Mohammad Shah Alam Chowdhury[2]

[1 & 2] Department of English, Dhaka International University

***Related declarations are provided in the final section of this article*

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: 09 Sep 2026

Accepted: 10 Oct 2026

Published: 20 Oct 2026

Correspondence Author:

Prof. Dr. Sazzad Hossain *

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). The Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License** (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Lalon Fakir, one of the most influential figures in the Baul tradition of Bengal, developed a philosophical outlook that challenges religious exclusivism, caste hierarchy, social discrimination, and the separation between spiritual life and human existence. His songs combine mystical inquiry, embodied spirituality, self-knowledge, and a profound commitment to human dignity. Rather than accepting religious identity as an unquestionable marker of truth, Lalon encourages individuals to investigate the nature of the self and recognise the humanity that exists beyond social classifications. This article examines the humanistic, spiritual, and philosophical dimensions of Lalon Fakir's thought, focusing on the relationship between the body and the soul, the critique of ritualism, the rejection of caste and communal divisions, and the search for the "unknown person" within the human being. Using a qualitative, interpretive methodology based on selected songs, historical scholarship, and relevant philosophical perspectives, the study explores how Lalon's ideas challenge established religious and social authorities. The article argues that Lalon's philosophy represents a distinctive form of vernacular humanism in which spiritual realization is inseparable from self-knowledge, ethical conduct, and respect for humanity. His thought remains relevant to contemporary discussions of religious pluralism, social justice, cultural identity, and interfaith coexistence. Nevertheless, Lalon's philosophy should be understood within its historical and cultural context rather than simply equated with modern secular humanism. His enduring significance lies in his ability to connect mystical experience with a radical questioning of social boundaries.

Keywords: Lalon Fakir; Baul philosophy; Bengali mysticism; Humanism; Spirituality; Religious pluralism; Self-knowledge; Caste discrimination; Embodied spirituality

INTRODUCTION

Lalon Fakir occupies a distinctive position in the intellectual, musical, and spiritual history of Bengal. Associated with the Baul tradition, he is remembered for songs that investigate the meaning of human existence, the relationship between the body and the soul, the limitations of religious institutions, and the social divisions created by caste, community, and inherited identity. His philosophical significance lies not merely in his contribution to folk music but also in his persistent questioning of the assumptions through which individuals and communities define themselves.

The nineteenth-century Bengal in which Lalon lived was characterized by complex interactions among Hindu and Muslim communities, devotional traditions, Sufi influences, Vaishnava thought, caste structures, colonial transformations, and emerging forms of social reform. The Baul tradition developed within this plural cultural environment, drawing upon diverse religious and philosophical currents without being reducible to any single institutional doctrine. Lalon's songs reflect this complex intellectual world through a distinctive vocabulary of the body, the mind, the human being, and the search for an elusive spiritual truth (Openshaw, 2002).

One of the central concerns of Lalon's philosophy is the inadequacy of external identity as a measure of spiritual or moral worth. Religious labels, ritual practices, and social classifications may organise communal life, but they cannot necessarily reveal the essential nature of a person. Lalon therefore invites his listeners to question whether inherited identities provide genuine knowledge or merely reproduce social divisions. His famous song commonly known as Shob Loke Koy Lalon Ki Jat Songsare challenges the assumption that caste or social origin can define the worth of a human being.

Lalon's philosophical approach is particularly significant because it does not depend primarily on abstract metaphysical argument. It communicates through songs, metaphors, paradoxes, questions, and embodied practices. His method is experiential: truth must be approached through self-investigation and spiritual discipline rather than accepted solely through inherited authority. In this sense, his thought connects spirituality with critical reflection and places the human being at the centre of philosophical inquiry.

This article examines Lalon's philosophy through three interconnected dimensions: humanism, spirituality, and the critique of ritual and religious exclusivism. It argues that his thought offers a culturally grounded form of human-centred spirituality that challenges social hierarchy while encouraging self-knowledge and ethical responsibility. It also considers the limitations of interpreting Lalon through contemporary categories and explains why his philosophy remains relevant to modern debates about religious pluralism and human dignity.

Research Objectives and Questions

The primary objective of this study is to analyse the philosophical significance of Lalon Fakir's songs and teachings in relation to humanism, spirituality, and the critique of religious and social boundaries.

The specific objectives are:

1. To examine the humanistic principles underlying Lalon's understanding of human identity and dignity.

2. To analyse his criticism of ritualism, religious exclusivism, caste hierarchy, and communal discrimination.

3. To explore the relationship between the human body, self-knowledge, and spiritual realisation in Baul philosophy.

4. To investigate the relevance of Lalon's thought to contemporary discussions of pluralism, social justice, and intercultural coexistence.

The study addresses the following research questions:

- How does Lalon Fakir challenge conventional religious and social identities?

- In what ways does his philosophy connect self-knowledge with spiritual realisation?

- How does his human-centred approach contribute to a critique of caste and communal divisions?

- What is the contemporary significance of his philosophical legacy?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This article adopts a qualitative and interpretive research methodology. It treats Lalon's songs as philosophical and cultural texts rather than merely as musical compositions. The analysis focuses on recurring themes, including the human being, the body, the unknown person, caste, religious identity, self-knowledge, and spiritual experience.

The study draws upon established scholarship on the Baul tradition and Bengali mystical culture, particularly Openshaw's Seeking Bauls of Bengal (2002), Capwell's research on Baul music and religious culture (1986), and Cashin's study of the Bengali Vaishnava tradition (1995). These

works provide contextual perspectives for understanding the broader religious and cultural environment in which Baul thought developed.

The research uses thematic interpretation rather than statistical analysis or empirical fieldwork. It examines selected songs commonly attributed to Lalon, while recognising that oral transmission, variant lyrics, and uncertain historical documentation complicate the establishment of a single definitive text. English translations and transliterations of Lalon's songs also differ across publications.

Consequently, the article does not claim to reconstruct every aspect of Lalon's original intention. Instead, it identifies major philosophical tendencies in the available textual and historical evidence. It also distinguishes Lalon's historically situated mystical thought from modern philosophical categories that may illuminate his work but cannot fully contain it.

Historical and Cultural Context of Lalon Fakir

Lalon Fakir is generally associated with the nineteenth-century Bengal region and is traditionally believed to have lived from approximately 1772 to 1890. He is closely connected with Cheuriya, in present-day Kushtia, Bangladesh, where his spiritual community and memorial site continue to attract devotees, researchers, and cultural visitors. Nevertheless, many details of his early life remain uncertain, and biographical accounts should be approached cautiously.

The Baul tradition is best understood as a heterogeneous religious and musical movement rather than a single organised sect. Baul practitioners have drawn upon different currents of Bengali religious culture, including Sufi-influenced practices, Vaishnava devotional ideas, tantric traditions, and forms of esoteric spiritual discipline. The relative importance of these influences varies among practitioners and historical interpretations (Openshaw, 2002).

The term "Baul" itself encompasses a variety of performers and spiritual practitioners whose practices and beliefs are not entirely uniform. Baul songs frequently employ symbolic language to discuss the body, the mind, desire, the guru, and the search for an inner truth. These themes cannot always be interpreted literally; their meaning may depend on oral explanation, performance traditions, and particular spiritual contexts.

Lalon's philosophical significance emerges from this environment of cultural interaction. His songs neither simply reproduce formal religious doctrines nor completely abandon religious vocabulary. Instead, they reinterpret familiar concepts through questions about human experience. Religious language becomes a means of investigating the limitations of religious identity itself.

This approach makes Lalon an important figure in Bengali cultural history. He demonstrates how philosophical reflection can emerge from oral culture and musical performance rather than exclusively from formal education or written philosophical systems. His work also challenges the assumption that intellectual sophistication must be expressed through technical terminology or institutional scholarship.

Humanism and the Dignity of the Human Being

Humanism is one of the most prominent interpretive dimensions of Lalon's philosophy. His songs repeatedly question social systems that assign value to people according to birth, caste, religious affiliation, or communal origin. Instead of accepting these classifications as natural or spiritually decisive, Lalon asks what they actually reveal about the human person.

His song Shob Loke Koy Lalon Ki Jat Songsare is especially important in this respect. Commonly translated as "Everyone asks what caste Lalon belongs to," the song challenges the social expectation that an individual must be identified through inherited communal categories. The question of caste becomes an opportunity to expose the instability of the very system that demands a fixed answer.

The philosophical force of this questioning lies in its refusal to accept social classification as an adequate explanation of human identity. Caste is not merely an administrative label; in the South Asian context, it has historically been associated with hierarchy, exclusion, and unequal access to social and religious privileges. By questioning the meaning of caste, Lalon challenges the authority through which social distinctions are maintained.

His approach can therefore be interpreted as an affirmation of human dignity. A person's moral and spiritual worth cannot be determined simply by social origin. The humanity shared by individuals becomes more fundamental than the divisions through which communities organise themselves.

However, Lalon's humanism should not be equated uncritically with contemporary secular humanism. His songs remain deeply concerned with spiritual realisation, mystical knowledge, and the discovery of an inner truth. His emphasis on humanity emerges from a spiritual worldview rather than from a systematic rejection of religion.

This distinction is essential. Lalon does not necessarily argue that every religious practice is meaningless or that spiritual belief should be abandoned. Rather, he questions religious and social arrangements when they obstruct self-knowledge, encourage discrimination, or replace genuine understanding with superficial conformity.

His humanism is thus relational and experiential. The human being becomes significant not merely as an isolated individual but as a participant in a shared field of existence that cannot be adequately represented by inherited labels. This vision offers a powerful critique of social exclusion and encourages an understanding of dignity that extends beyond communal boundaries.

Beyond Ritual and Religious Formalism

A central feature of Lalon's thought is his criticism of the assumption that external religious observance automatically produces spiritual truth. Religious rituals can express devotion, sustain collective memory, and provide meaningful forms of communal belonging. Nevertheless, Lalon's

songs question whether ritual performance alone can transform a person's understanding of existence.

His criticism is directed particularly at the separation between outward conformity and inward realisation. A person may follow prescribed customs, display religious symbols, and identify strongly with a particular community while remaining unaware of the deeper questions of human existence. Ritual becomes problematic when it is treated as a substitute for ethical conduct, self-knowledge, and spiritual understanding.

This critique does not necessarily amount to a rejection of all religious practices. It is more accurately understood as a challenge to ritualism: the tendency to assume that external forms are sufficient evidence of spiritual accomplishment. Lalon redirects attention from the visible performance of religious identity to the less visible work of examining one's own mind, conduct, and understanding.

The distinction is especially important in societies where religious identity can function as a marker of social status or communal superiority. When rituals become instruments for distinguishing the supposedly pure from the impure, they may reinforce precisely the hierarchies that spiritual practice should encourage individuals to question.

Lalon's philosophical method is interrogative rather than dogmatic. He uses questions to unsettle certainty and expose contradictions between religious claims and human behaviour. Such questioning encourages listeners to consider whether they understand the meaning of the practices they perform.

This perspective remains relevant in contemporary societies, where public displays of religious identity may coexist with prejudice, exploitation, or discrimination. Lalon's thought suggests that religious commitment should be evaluated not only through outward observance but also through its relationship to humility, compassion, and respect for other human beings.

Nevertheless, the distinction between ritual and inward spirituality should not be made absolute. Rituals may contribute to spiritual reflection when approached thoughtfully. Lalon's enduring contribution lies in questioning their sufficiency, not necessarily in denying their possible significance.

The Philosophy of the Human Body and Embodied Spirituality

The human body occupies a central position in Baul philosophy. In many conventional religious interpretations, spiritual truth is associated with transcendent realities that appear to stand apart from ordinary bodily existence. Baul traditions, by contrast, often direct attention toward the body as a site of spiritual inquiry and experiential knowledge.

Lalon's songs repeatedly use bodily imagery to explore questions about life, identity, desire, consciousness, and the nature of existence. The body is not simply a physical object; it is also a symbolic field in which the mysteries of human life are encountered. The search for truth

therefore requires an examination of the embodied self rather than an exclusive reliance on external authority.

This perspective can be described as embodied spirituality. Spiritual understanding emerges through lived experience, disciplined attention, and the investigation of the relationship between the individual and the world. The body becomes a starting point for philosophical reflection because human beings encounter existence through bodily life.

The significance of this approach becomes clearer when considered alongside Lalon's emphasis on self-knowledge. To understand the human condition, individuals must investigate not only the external world but also the assumptions, desires, limitations, and experiences that shape their own consciousness. The body is implicated in this process because it is through embodied existence that people experience relationships, suffering, pleasure, vulnerability, and mortality.

The Baul concept of the dehatattva, or the philosophy of the body, provides a useful framework for understanding this orientation. In Baul contexts, bodily language may carry esoteric meanings connected with spiritual practice and the search for inner truth. These meanings cannot always be reduced to straightforward physical descriptions or universal philosophical propositions (Openshaw, 2002).

Lalon's emphasis on the body also challenges the tendency to separate spiritual life entirely from ordinary human existence. Spirituality is not necessarily achieved by denying embodiment; instead, the body can become an instrument of inquiry through which deeper understanding is pursued.

At the same time, the body in Baul philosophy should not be interpreted as merely a modern celebration of physical autonomy. Its significance is connected with particular spiritual disciplines, symbolic systems, and oral traditions. The philosophical lesson is that human existence must be understood through lived experience, while recognising that the body's meaning extends beyond simple biological description.

Self-Knowledge and the Search for the Unknown Person

Another major theme in Lalon's philosophy is the search for the elusive human reality frequently expressed through the image of the Moner Manush, commonly translated as the "person of the heart" or the "person within the mind." The phrase is associated with the search for an intimate spiritual presence that cannot be fully grasped through ordinary categories.

The Moner Manush is not easily reducible to a single theological definition. Depending on the interpretive framework, it may be understood as an expression of spiritual fulfilment, the discovery of an inner truth, or the realisation of a deeper relationship between the self and the ultimate reality. The concept's ambiguity is part of its poetic and philosophical power.

Lalon's search for this unknown person raises a fundamental epistemological question: how can individuals claim to understand the ultimate truth when they have not adequately examined themselves? Knowledge of external doctrines, social classifications, or religious authorities may remain incomplete if it is disconnected from self-awareness.

Self-knowledge therefore becomes a spiritual and philosophical discipline. It requires questioning familiar assumptions and recognising the limitations of inherited explanations. Rather than accepting identity as a finished product, the individual must investigate the processes through which identity is constructed and understood.

The search for the Moner Manush also complicates the distinction between the self and the other. The unknown is not simply a distant object located outside human experience. It is approached through an inward journey that transforms the individual's understanding of existence.

This theme can be compared cautiously with philosophical traditions that emphasise introspection, ethical self-examination, or the search for wisdom. Yet Lalon's thought remains distinctive because its ideas are articulated through Bengali poetic expression, musical performance, and Baul spiritual practice rather than through a formal philosophical system.

The importance of self-knowledge in Lalon's philosophy lies in its capacity to unsettle intellectual and spiritual arrogance. If the deepest truth requires sustained inquiry, then no individual or community can claim complete understanding merely on the basis of inherited status. This attitude encourages humility, openness, and continued reflection.

Religious Pluralism and the Critique of Communal Division

Lalon's philosophy is also significant for its critique of the divisions separating religious communities. His songs question the assumption that human beings can be divided into fundamentally different categories according to their religious origins. Rather than accepting these distinctions as final truths, he investigates their relationship to the shared conditions of human existence.

The Bengali cultural environment in which Baul traditions developed involved sustained interaction among different religious communities. Such interactions produced forms of devotional expression that sometimes crossed institutional boundaries while retaining distinctive cultural and spiritual characteristics. Lalon's work should be understood within this plural environment rather than assigned exclusively to one religious tradition.

His songs question the tendency to treat religious labels as complete explanations of human identity. Such labels may identify communal affiliation, but they do not necessarily establish moral superiority or reveal a person's spiritual understanding. The demand to classify every individual within a rigid religious framework can therefore become an obstacle to recognising common humanity.

This position supports an interpretation of Lalon as an important voice for religious coexistence. His approach suggests that meaningful engagement with spiritual questions requires an openness that exceeds the boundaries of communal self-definition.

However, religious pluralism in Lalon's thought should not be confused with the claim that all religious doctrines are identical. Different traditions possess distinctive beliefs, histories, and practices. Lalon's contribution is better understood as a challenge to the assumption that such differences justify contempt, exclusion, or the denial of another person's dignity.

His philosophy also offers a critical perspective on contemporary communalism. When religious identities become instruments of political mobilisation, social exclusion, or hostility, the distinction between belief and prejudice becomes particularly important. Lalon's questioning of inherited identities encourages individuals to consider whether communal loyalty strengthens ethical responsibility or undermines it.

His thought does not provide a complete institutional programme for managing religious diversity. Rather, it offers a moral and philosophical orientation that questions the legitimacy of discrimination and invites a deeper understanding of shared human existence.

Lalon's Philosophy and Social Justice

Lalon's critique of caste and religious exclusion has important implications for social justice. His songs expose the contradictions inherent in systems that claim to uphold spiritual or moral values while maintaining social hierarchies. By questioning inherited status, he challenges the assumption that social privilege reflects an individual's intrinsic worth.

The connection between spiritual inquiry and social criticism is particularly important. If self-knowledge requires the questioning of prejudice, then the examination of one's own beliefs cannot remain entirely private. It must also involve reflection on the social practices through which inequality is maintained.

Lalon's songs create space for such reflection by questioning the legitimacy of classifications that divide human beings. Their philosophical force does not depend on presenting a detailed political manifesto. Instead, they reveal the instability of social assumptions that appear natural only because they are repeatedly reproduced.

His critique can be connected with contemporary discussions of equality, inclusion, and human rights. Modern democratic societies generally recognise that legal and social equality should not depend on caste, religion, ethnicity, or inherited status. Lalon's questioning of these distinctions resonates with such principles, although his original conceptual framework was not identical to contemporary human-rights discourse.

The relationship between Lalon's thought and social justice must therefore be interpreted historically. It would be misleading to present him as a modern political theorist without

qualification. His importance lies in the way his spiritual and poetic language undermines the moral authority of discriminatory social arrangements.

His songs also demonstrate the intellectual significance of popular culture. Philosophical challenges to inequality do not emerge exclusively from universities, legal institutions, or written political theory. They may also develop through oral traditions, songs, performance, and the lived experiences of communities situated outside dominant structures of cultural authority.

Lalon as a Philosopher of Vernacular Knowledge

Lalon's philosophical significance invites a reconsideration of what counts as philosophy. Formal academic traditions often privilege systematic argument, written treatises, and technical terminology. Lalon's thought, however, is transmitted primarily through songs and oral performance, where metaphor, repetition, ambiguity, and questioning play central roles.

This does not mean that his work lacks philosophical depth. Rather, it demonstrates that philosophical inquiry can take culturally diverse forms. Questions about identity, knowledge, embodiment, spiritual truth, and human dignity are philosophical questions even when they are expressed through musical and poetic language.

The concept of vernacular philosophy is useful here. It recognises that communities develop sophisticated ways of interpreting existence through their own languages, cultural practices, and systems of knowledge. Lalon's songs provide an example of philosophical reflection rooted in Bengali popular culture.

His method is particularly effective because it invites participation. Listeners are not simply presented with a set of propositions to memorise; they are confronted with questions that demand interpretation. The song becomes a space for reflection in which meaning emerges through performance, listening, discussion, and lived experience.

This approach also challenges rigid distinctions between intellectual and popular culture. Lalon's work demonstrates that music can communicate complex reflections on social hierarchy, religious authority, and the nature of the self. Its philosophical importance cannot be measured solely by whether it conforms to the conventions of written academic philosophy.

At the same time, scholars must avoid romanticising oral traditions or assuming that every interpretation of a song is equally well supported. Historical context, textual variation, performance practices, and scholarly evidence remain essential to responsible interpretation. Recognising vernacular knowledge requires serious research rather than the uncritical celebration of cultural authenticity.

Contemporary Relevance of Lalon's Philosophy

Lalon's philosophical legacy remains relevant because the problems he questioned continue to shape modern societies. Religious polarisation, identity-based discrimination, social exclusion, and the tendency to judge individuals through inherited classifications remain important ethical and political challenges.

First, his humanistic orientation provides a basis for questioning prejudice. By challenging caste and communal identities as definitive measures of human worth, Lalon encourages a more inclusive understanding of social relationships. His thought invites people to consider the humanity of others before reducing them to categories.

Second, his criticism of ritualism offers a framework for examining the relationship between belief and behaviour. Religious observance may have profound personal and communal value, but its ethical significance becomes questionable when it coexists with exploitation, intolerance, or discrimination. Lalon's approach encourages reflection on whether spiritual commitments support compassion and humility.

Third, his emphasis on self-knowledge is relevant to contemporary discussions of identity. In an environment shaped by social media, political polarisation, and public competition over cultural belonging, individuals may become increasingly attached to simplified representations of themselves and others. Lalon's questioning method offers an alternative: identity should remain open to examination rather than being treated as an unquestionable truth.

Fourth, his philosophy highlights the importance of cultural pluralism. The Baul tradition demonstrates how local cultural practices can sustain creative encounters among different religious and philosophical influences. Such encounters offer a valuable resource for understanding coexistence without requiring the erasure of difference.

Finally, Lalon's legacy is important for the preservation and interpretation of intangible cultural heritage. His songs are not merely historical artefacts; they remain living cultural expressions through which performers and audiences negotiate questions of identity, spirituality, and belonging. Responsible preservation must therefore support both historical scholarship and the communities that sustain these traditions.

Nevertheless, contemporary applications of Lalon's philosophy require caution. His songs should not be appropriated to support every modern ideological position without considering their historical context. Nor should the complexity of Baul spirituality be reduced to a simple slogan about universal love. His enduring relevance depends on preserving the depth, ambiguity, and critical character of his thought.

DISCUSSION

The analysis suggests that Lalon Fakir's philosophy is best understood as an interconnected framework in which humanism, spirituality, and social criticism reinforce one another. His questioning of caste and religious identity is not separate from his search for spiritual truth. Both

emerge from the conviction that established classifications and external appearances may conceal rather than reveal the deeper nature of human existence.

His critique of ritualism is similarly connected to self-knowledge. External religious forms become insufficient when they prevent individuals from examining their own conduct and assumptions. Spirituality therefore involves an inward process of investigation that can also generate criticism of social injustice.

The concept of the Moner Manush illustrates the relationship between inward inquiry and philosophical uncertainty. The search for the unknown person does not produce a simple, universally agreed definition of ultimate reality. Instead, it opens a field of reflection in which the boundaries between self and other, appearance and truth, and inherited identity and lived experience become subjects of inquiry.

These themes support the interpretation of Lalon as a philosopher of vernacular humanism. His humanism is expressed through the questioning of social exclusion and the affirmation of shared humanity, while his spirituality remains grounded in embodied experience and mystical inquiry. The term "vernacular" is important because it recognises the distinctive cultural and linguistic forms through which these ideas are communicated.

The study also identifies limitations in contemporary interpretations. Lalon's songs have been incorporated into different cultural, religious, nationalist, and political narratives. Each may highlight important dimensions of his legacy, but selective appropriation can obscure the diversity of the Baul tradition and the uncertainty surrounding particular texts and biographical claims.

A balanced interpretation must therefore recognise both the radical possibilities and the historical limitations of his thought. Lalon offers resources for contemporary ethical reflection, but he should not be transformed into a spokesperson for modern ideologies that developed long after his lifetime. His significance lies precisely in the distinctive relationship he establishes between spiritual inquiry, poetic expression, and the critique of social boundaries.

CONCLUSION

Lalon Fakir's philosophy offers a profound exploration of the relationship between human identity, spiritual knowledge, and social justice. Through his songs, he challenges the assumption that caste, religious affiliation, ritual observance, or inherited status can adequately define a person's worth. His work instead directs attention toward self-knowledge, embodied experience, and the recognition of humanity beyond rigid social classifications.

The humanistic dimension of his thought is most visible in his questioning of caste and communal divisions. His spirituality emerges through the search for inner truth and the investigation of the human condition. His criticism of ritualism challenges the separation between outward conformity and genuine understanding, while his poetic language demonstrates the philosophical significance of oral and musical traditions.

The analysis has shown that Lalon's ideas can contribute to contemporary debates about religious pluralism, equality, cultural identity, and ethical responsibility. His thought encourages individuals to question prejudice, examine their own assumptions, and resist the use of religious or social identity as a justification for exclusion.

Nevertheless, Lalon should not be simplistically classified as either a secular humanist or a representative of a single religious doctrine. His philosophy belongs to a distinctive Bengali mystical and cultural context that combines spiritual experimentation with critical reflection. Its richness depends on preserving this complexity.

Ultimately, Lalon's enduring message lies in the proposition that the search for truth requires more than the acceptance of inherited labels. It demands an ongoing investigation of the self and a willingness to reconsider the boundaries separating human beings. By connecting spiritual inquiry with human dignity, Lalon Fakir remains an important figure in the intellectual and cultural history of Bengal and a valuable source of reflection for a world still struggling with religious division and social inequality.

Article Publication Details
This article is published in the **OpenMind Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation & Development**, ISSN: 3108-2920 (Online). In Volume 2 (2026), Issue 5 (Sep - Oct) - 2026
The journal is published and managed by **OMR PUBLICATION** .

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on this paper.

Authors' contributions

All author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no funding was received for this work.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate

The author(s) declare that not applicable.

Consent for publication

The author(s) declare that not applicable.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Capwell, C. (1986). The popular expression of religious syncretism: The Bauls of Bengal. *The Journal of Asian Studies, 45*(2), 255–277.
2. Cashin, D. (1995). *The ocean of love: Middle Bengali Sufi literature and the fakirs of Bengal*. Association of Oriental Studies at Stockholm University.
3. Openshaw, J. (2002). *Seeking Bauls of Bengal*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Thompson, E. (Ed.). (1923). *Bengal Vaishnavism and its literature*. Oxford University Press.

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

OMR PUBLICATION remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of OMR PUBLICATION and/or the editor(s). OMR PUBLICATION disclaims responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.